

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 455 Alexandra, Virgina 2313-1450 www.usplo.gd

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 06/25/2001 Clive Hayball 0584-1041 2086 09/888,730 23644 7590 08/10/2006 **EXAMINER** BARNES & THORNBURG, LLP BILGRAMI, ASGHAR H P.O. BOX 2786 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER CHICAGO, IL 60690-2786 2143

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)
	A49 A 17 A	09/888,730		HAYBALL ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit
		Asghar Bilgrar	ni	2143
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply	ation appears on the co	ver sheet with the co	orrespondence address
WHIC - Extens after S - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA sions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commur period for reply is specified above, the maximum statue to reply within the set or extended period for reply withply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF THIS (37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, h nication. tory period will apply and will exp III, by statute, cause the application	COMMUNICATION owever, may a reply be time ire SIX (6) MONTHS from the to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed he mailing date of this communication. () (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status				
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>05 May 2006</i> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.				
· —	Since this application is in condition fo	, 		secution as to the merits is
(closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle	e, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.
Disposition	on of Claims			
·	Claim(s) <u>1,2,5,7,8,10,12,13 and 19-36</u>	is/are pending in the a	pplication.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	· -	• •	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1,2,5,7,8,10,12,13 and 19-36</u>	is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) 🗌 (Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requi	rement.	
Application	on Papers			
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.		
•	The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 June 2001</u> i		r b) objected to b	by the Examiner.
·	Applicant may not request that any objecti		-	
1	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	ne correction is required if	the drawing(s) is obje	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)
11)□ T	The oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner. Note t	he attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r foreign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	-(d) or (f).
·	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	G program of the second	= : 3 · / : (4/	· / · //
•	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been re	ceived.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority do			on No
;	3. Copies of the certified copies of	the priority documents	have been received	d in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* Sc	ee the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified	copies not received	.t
Attachment((2)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [Interview Summary ((PTO-413)
2) Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTG	O-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat	
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-1449 o	TO/SB/08) 5) [6) [_	nem Application (PTO-152)
Paper	NO(S)/IVIali Date	Ο, ι		

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 8, 10, 12, 13, 19-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Logan et al (U.S. 6,578,0066 B1).
- 3. As per claims 1, 25-27 & 30 Logan disclosed a computer readable storage medium storing instructions that, when executed on entities within a network cause the entities to perform a method for handling a resource request, the method comprising the steps of; receiving a resource request at a GLLS at a network edge from a client, the resource request identifying the requested resource: the GLLS forwarding the resource request to a GDLS at a, location remote from the network edge (col.4, lines 47-67 & col.5, lines 1-2): the GDLS searching a database for a resource record associated with the requested resource the resource record including a series of executable instructions (col.3, lines 39-67): the GDLS analyzing a set of resource providers and determining the resource providers compatible with the resource request; the GDLS transmitting

a response containing a list of resource providers to the GLLS, the list including server selection criteria associated with the resource providers; the GLLS selecting the best resource provider in the list according, to the server selection criteria: and the GLLS executing- the executable instructions to facilitate providing the requested resource to the client by the best resource provider (col.5, lines 46-67 & col.6, lines 1-3).

- 4. As per claim 2 Logan disclosed a method according to Claim 1, wherein the resource request further comprises information relating to client location in the network and access speed (col.5, lines 46-65).
- 5. As per claim 5 Logan disclosed a method according to Claim 2 wherein the information is added to the resource request after said resource request is received at the GLLS from the client (col.4, lines 47-67 & col.5, lines 1-2).
- 6. As per claim 7 Logan disclosed a method according to claim 1, wherein the GLLS is a DNS server and the step of receiving a resource request comprises receiving a request concerning access to the resource provide (col.4, lines 47-67 & col.5, lines 1-2).
- 7. As per claim 8 Logan disclosed a method according to Claim.1:, further comprising the steps of the: the GLLS converting the resource request form operable by the GDLS and transmitting the converted resource request to the GDLS (col.4, lines 47-67 & col.5, lines 1-2).

Art Unit: 2143

8. As per claim 10 Logan disclosed a method according to Claim 1, wherein the requested resource is provided to the client by the best resource Provider via the GLLS (col.5, lines 46-67 & col.6, lines 1-3).

Page 4

- 9. As per claim 12 & 13 Logan disclosed a method according to Claim 1, wherein resource provider is an application (col.5, lines 46-67 & col.6, lines 1-3).
- 10. As per claim 19 Logan disclosed a method according to Claim 1, wherein the resource request is a DNS record and the information in the resource request is contained within an additional DNS text field forming part of the DNS record (col.5, lines 46-67 & col.6, lines 1-3).
- 11. As per claim 20 Logan disclosed a method according to Claim 16, wherein the response transmitted by the GDLS is a DNS record and the server selection criteria of the compatible resource providers are contained within an additional DNS text field forming part of the DNS record (col.5, lines 46-67 & col.6, lines 1-3).
- 12. As per claim 21 Logan disclosed a method according to Claim 1, further comprising Identifying a lookup means for accessing said resource provider (col.3, lines 8-25).
- 13. As per claim 22 Logan disclosed a method according to claim 21 wherein the look up means comprises an address (col.3, lines 8-25).

Page 5

Art Unit: 2143

14. As per claims 23 & 24 Logan disclosed a method according to Claim 21 wherein the identifying comprises retrieving a second identity of the network entity (col.4, lines 47-67 & col.5, lines 1-2).

- 15. As per claim 29 Logan disclosed an architecture according to claim 28, further comprising a content, distribution point manager CDPM associated with the GDL, the CDPM holding information on resource provider, said CDPM configured to provide information on all known resource providers able to supply the requested resource on receiving a query from the GLLS corresponding to the resource request received by GLLS (col.4, lines 47-67 & col.5, lines 1-2).
- 16. As per claim 31 Logan disclosed a method according to Claim 2, wherein the sever selection criteria includes information on one of the group comprising: a response time of said resource provider, a load on said resource provider, a distance to the resource provider from the, and a throughput of the resource provider (col.5, lines 46-67 & col.6, lines 1-3).
- 17. As per claim 32 Logan disclosed a method according to Claim 1, wherein the requested resource is available on the resource provider but is not available on the GLLS (col.4, lines 47-67 & col.5, lines 1-2).
- 18. As per claim 33 Logan disclosed a communications network comprising the scalable architecture as claimed in claim 27 (col.12, lines 5-11).

Art Unit: 2143

19. As per claim 34 Logan disclosed a method according to Claim 1 wherein the fist of resource providers transmitted by the GDLS is in order of their compatibility with the resource

Page 6

request, the most compatible resource provider placed first (col.4, lines 47-67 & col.5, lines 1-2).

20. As per claim 35 Logan disclosed a method according to Claim 1 wherein the GLLS

includes a Content Distribution Point Manager (CDPM), the CDPM adapted to provide

information about local resource providers within an ISP domain (col.4, lines 47-67 & col.5,

lines 1-2).

21. As per claim 36 Logan disclosed a method according to Claim 1 wherein the GDLS

includes a Content Distribution Point Manager (CDPM), the CDPM adapted to provide

information about resource providers throughout the network (col.4, lines 47-67 & col.5, lines 1-

2).

Response to Arguments

22. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-33 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Asghar Bilgrami whose telephone number is 571-272-3907. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:00-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on 571-272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AB

Asghar Bilgrami Examiner Art Unit 2143

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER